

**Attention:** Jeff Overton

**From:** Group 70 International, Inc.

**Subject:** Comments on the:

· Hanapohaku Sharks Cove Development Interim Plan

· Pupukeya EIS Prep

**From:** Pahoe Road Residents Hui

**Members:**

John W. Thielst  
58-081 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
14 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-066  
Pahoe Rd Neighbors Hui  
Representative

Dean Sakuoka, Lauren Sakuoka,  
DeannSakuoka Sweet, Janelle  
Sakuoka  
58-062 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
60 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-022

Melvin and Wendy Tsue  
58-694 Kam Hwy  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
67 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-030

Ingo Rademacher  
58-068 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
10 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-014

Karen and Kathy Okuhara  
58-079 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
50 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-064

Frank Niimi  
58-086 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
30 year Pahoe Rd owner  
TMK 5-9-11-012  
TMK 5-9-11-008

Jeff Schultz  
58-071 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
12 year Pahoe Rd owner &  
resident  
TMK 5-9-11-015

David Riddle  
58-076 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
25 year Pahoe Rd resident  
TMK 5-9-11-012

**Hanapohaku Sharks Cove Development Interim Plan:**

1. Does not comply with the DPP letter dated May 2, 2016 Revocation of Minor Special management Area Use Permit. Last paragraph states " Therefore, by this letter, the permits identified by File Numbers 2015/SMA-24. 2015/SMA-47 and 2015/SMA-61, are hereby revoked. Consequently, all improvements, which were authorized by these approvals, must be removed, and the area restored to its pre-approval condition. Any outstanding violations associated with those approvals must also be resolved (i.e., grading, etc.). As requested, we are also closing the application received on March 3, 2016 (File No. 2016/ELOG-511) for a Minor SMP for the Tax Map Key 5-9-11: 70."

That is over a year ago and there has been no change to activity or removal of said improvements.

2. Until the community trust is regained and above is met to the letter of the law, development should be put on hold.
3. Interim plan is still much too dense for the area and is nothing less than what is in place now.
4. Currently and with interim plan traffic congestion, Pedestrian safety in the immediate area will remain the same, and build up mores so depending on time of year and season for tourism. All of which have significantly affected immediate local neighbors some of who have lived in the same spot for 60 years.
5. No noise or lighting attenuation has been addressed currently or in interim plan. Both the noise and increased lighting have significantly affected immediate local neighbors some of who have lived in the same spot for 60 years.
6. Interim plan should be scaled back to less than three food trucks at a maximum, with all remaining activity's significantly curtailed
7. Current set back need to be enlarged and currently and with interim plan significantly. Needs to be a clear view plane looking both North and South in front on Maki side of property mauka side of Kam Hwy for entrance to and from Pahoe road and Foodland parking lot
8. Any food truck that remains must be mobile and comply with all rules and regulations for DOH for food trucks
9. Security to ensure cars do not park long Kam hwy in no parking area from entrance to both side of Pahoe rd.
10. Plan needs secured fence along Pahoe Rd with no access.
11. No turning lanes of any kind are addressed in this plan and needs to be.
12. Assurances and a commitment the interim plan does not become the final plan! How will this be guaranteed?
13. The impact to the environment or the study that is to be conducted, will only be valid or accurate if the developers/business owners are realistic about their numbers regarding their projected customer traffic.

For example: according to the Hanapohaku SMA minor permit application, currently, "the activity associated with each food truck varies 30-50 customers per day" However, according to declarations on Yelp on different days made by the owner of The Elephant Truck, they "typically cook over 250 dishes within 3 hours, so that's well-over one dish per minute"....."we were extremely busy yesterday and cooked for over 500 people".....

That amount of customers for just that one food truck exceeds their estimate for 8 food trucks total. This is not just unrealistic, but wildly unrealistic. Can their waste water really handle 10x their projected estimate? We are sure the parking will not handle that many people. What other elements/facilities of the project are contingent on those numbers?

Pupukea EIS Prep:

1. Design of new and final plan is still much too dense for the local rural areas and especially being directly across the street from a marine sanctuary.
2. Haleiwa is the business hub of the area and should remain that way as the infrastructure already in place. There is no infrastructure in place in the Sharks Cove area that can functionally and actually handle the load that this development would put on it and the surrounding area even with its own waste water treatment facility.
3. This development will serve more the visitors than the local community, as is the agreement that is in the sustainable area plan.
4. The North shore is a rural area and does not need a development of this size and scale to serve the local residents as is required by the original master plan.
5. This size development will significantly increase the noise, lighting and trash debris in the local area.
6. Significant traffic congestion, Pedestrian safety in the immediate area will increase, and build up more so depending on time of year and season for tourism.
7. Two story business buildings are not something that belongs along Kam Hwy directly across from Sharks cove.
8. Although the local community might get a meal at a food truck every once in a while, 90% of the customers, now & in the future plan are & will be tourists
9. 5.1 No Action Alternative "Maintain the property in its existing condition, with limited allowed commercial uses per the existing SMA minor permit....."

Why are they referring to the Elephant Shack as a dentist office? If they are calling it a dentist office and not recognizing/admitting it's been converted to a commercial kitchen, are they accurately showing building costs and permits? How can they misrepresent as fact in their official declaration of plans in the EIS draft.

Thank you,

John W. Thielst  
58-081 Pahoe Rd  
Haleiwa HI 96712  
14 year Pahoe Rd owner & resident  
TMK 5-9-11-066  
Pahoe Rd Neighbors Hui Representative